EUCLID MUNICIPAL COURT CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

DATE: March 4, 2014 CASE NO.: 14CVG00417

DEEGAN	MANAGEMENT	INC.
	PL	AINTIFF

PLAINTIFF(S)	
V .	•
DENISE MOSS	
DEFENDANT(S)	MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND JUDGMENT ENTRY
First Cause of Action	
Plaintiff in Court Defendant in Plaintiff Represented by Counsel	n Court
Findings of Fact	
1. Plaintiff(s) is (are) the \square owner(s) \square landlore	d (acting on behalf of) (name of owner)
of the premises described in plaintiff's complai	` .
2. Defendant(s) is(are) the tenant(s) of the res	idential commercial premises pursuant to
☐ an oral ☐ a written rental agre	eement.
3. ☐ Defendant's tenancy is not federally sul ☐ Defendant's tenancy is federally subsid	
Determant a tenancy is rederany subside	ized, as follows.
4. Plaintiff(s) ☑did ☐did not serve defendant(as Plaintiff's Exhibit and was served on	s) with a three day notice. Notice was admitted the date contained in the notice.
5. The grounds alleged for this eviction are: ☐ Nonpayment ☐ Termination of Period	odic Tenancy
, ,	☐ Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9) (Drug Activity)
☐ Violation of R.C. 5321.05 ☐ Breach	* * * * * * *
6. ☐ Grounds = Nonpayment	•
	for the month of
	aired to serve defendant(s) additional notices (in
addition to 3 day) or	
	serve defendant(s) additional notices, which
admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit	d on the date contained in the notice; and
Or	
☐ Grounds = Termination of Periodic Tenanc	cy
	, pursuant to the parties written oral rental
agreement.	

		Plaintiff was (were) required to serve defendant(s) with a notice of termination of tenancy, which was not served or was served on the date contained in the notice,
٥٠		and admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit
Or	Gro	unds = Expiration of Lease The parties' written lease expired on Plaintiff(s) was (were) not required to served defendant(s) additional notices (in addition to 3 day) or Plaintiff(s) was (were) required to serve defendant(s) additional notices, which □were not served or □were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as
0		Plaintiff(s) Exhibit
Or Or	Gro	unds = Foreclosure Defendant lost title through foreclosure on or about Defendant has entered into no rental agreement with Plaintiff.
Or	Gro	unds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9) (Drug Activity) Illegal drug activity occurred at the premises on or about Additional Findings:
	Gro	unds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05 (other than drug activity) Defendant(s) has(have) violated/failed to fulfill the tenants' obligation under R.C. 5321.05, which materially effects health and safety, as follows:
		Plaintiff(s) was (were) required to serve defendant(s) additional notices, which □were not served or □were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit
Or		Defendant \square did \square did not breach, after service of the notice.
	Gro	unds = Breach of Lease (other than nonpayment) Defendant(s) has (have) violated the terms of the rental agreement as follows:
		Plaintiff(s) was (were) required to serve defendant(s) additional notices, which □were not served or □were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit Additional Findings:
1. Pl s/he juris 2. Pl	ainti serv dicti ainti	ons of Law ff(s) ☑has (have) □has (have) not established by a preponderance of the evidence that red on defendant(s) a three day notice as required by law, vesting this Court with on to proceed. ff(s) □has (have) ☑has (have) not established by a preponderance of the evidence that is entitled to judgment on the first cause, on the following grounds:

☐Nonpayment ☐Termination of Periodic Tenancy ☐Expiration of Lease
□Non-Color of Title □Foreclosure Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9) (drug activity)
□Violation of R.C. 5321.05 □Breach of Lease (other than nonpayment) □Other
3. No other notices were required or
Plaintiff(s) served defendant(s) with all other notices as required by law. Plaintiff(s) did not serve defendant(s) with all other notices as required by law, as
Plaintiff(s) did not serve defendant(s) with all other notices as required by law, as follows:
4. Additional Conclusions: THIS COURT LACKS SISTECT MOTTER TURISLICT.
(MHA MASE TWO(E) PAYMES & APTER SERVICE of 3 day NOTKS
5. Any objections to the Magistrate's Decision must be filed with the Clerk of Court within
fourteen (14) days of the filing of this decision. If any party timely files objections, any
other party may also file objections not later than ten (10) days after the first objections
are filed. Objections must be filed in compliance with Civil Rule 53(D) (3) and Local Rule 12. The filing of timely written objections shall operate as an automatic stay of
execution of that judgment until the Court disposes of those objections and vacates,
modifies, or adheres to the judgment previously entered.
Recommendation
Based upon the foregoing, the Magistrate recommends the following: ☐ Judgment for Defendant
☐ Judgment for Plaintiff. Writ of Restitution to Issue.
☐ Forthwith Move Out Ordered
☐ Move Out to Take Place on Or After
☐ By order of the Court, Dismissed with Prejudice 1 st Cause 2 nd Cause Both Causes
☐ By order of the Court, dismissed without Prejudice 1 st Cause 2 nd Cause Both Causes
☐ For Want of Prosecution, Dismissed without Prejudice ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
2 / Controlled Hadings/ Accommendations
MAR 10 XVA
RESCRIPT AND
CARBARA M TERCEK, CLERK OF COURTS
Dible Heller Took
All Mallan habe
Judge (Magistrate
MUA REPRESENTATIVE TESTIFIED DIRECT DEPOSIT. DAYMENTS of 1366-00 WERE MADE BY JANUARY OND FEBRUARY ZOIY, The MONIES (direct deposits WERE NOT RETURNED TO MILA. While Plaintiff WERE NOT RETURNED TO RECEIVING PAYMENTS THIS
CMMA REPRESENTATIVE TESTIFIED DIRECT DEPOSIT
Dr en to 1 /3/1/ as were made the Tanuary
ongress of social transfer
(1) FESTIANY 2014, IN MONTES COLLEGE, TO
While Plantite
IN ECE NOT 124 DIG
US DESENTATIVE CLISPUTES LECEIVING PAYMENTS YOU
(" - BUS DO CMAIA CEPCISSIOTATIVE TO SE
WERE NOT CETURNED TO CMMA. While PlANNIET WERE NOT CETURNED TO CHAMA CEPTE STUTATIVE TO SE COUNTING PAYMENTS DIS COUNTING TO SE COUNTING TO S
CRSO (See JOURNAL BOOK/PAGE 100 - 96