CLEVELAND MUNICIPAL COURT HOUSING DIVISION JUDGE RAYMOND L. PIANKA

Morningstare Journe		DATE:	11/29/2006
Plaintiff(s)			
-VS-		CASE NO.	06 CVG 26743
Relations			• •
Defendant((s)	MAGISTRA	TE'S DECISION
Referred for Hearing per Civ. F	R 53 to Magist	rator	
Sandra R. Lewis	□ Myra Tora		☐ Ruben E. Pope, III
□ David D. Roberts	□ Barbara A.	•	□ Bruce D. Imbacuan
Service:			
Service Thas I has not been po	erfected upon a	all defendants.	Add'l findings re: service:
First Cause of Action			
Talaintiff in Court	☐ Defendant		,
Plaintiff rep'd by counsel	Over Derendant	rep'd by coun	Sei
☐ Continued At Request Of ☐ I	Pltf (COPR)	Deft (CODR)	☐ Court (COCR)
	at Al	·	•
Findings of Fact			
1. Plaintiff(s) is (are) the ②owner(s) □ land			ehalf of
of the premises described in p	plaintiff's comp	laint.	(name of owner)
2. The address of the premises fr	rom which rest	itution is soug	zht:
☐ is correct and comple	ete as it appears	in the body o	f plaintiff's complaint.
is incorrect or incomp	plete and is cor	rected to read:	
			<u> </u>
3. Defendant(s) is (are) the tenar	nt(s) of the∃re	_ sidential □ co	ommercial premises
pursuant to 🛘 an oral 🚨 a wri	itten rental agre	eement.	
4 DD (- 1 - 1/2 to		1. 1	
4. Defendant's tenancy is not for Defendant's tenancy is feder	•		£202 , 88
o Determine o terminey to reach	and probleme	. د ۱۵۰ تا ۱	, , , , o
5. Plaintiff(s) Daid serve defend			
			notice admitted as Pltf's Ex.
☐ did not serve defendant(s)	with a three da	y notice under	r K.C. 1923.04.

o. The grounds alleged for this eviction are:
☑Nonpymt □ Term'n of Periodic T'cy □ Expir. of Lease
□ Non-Color of Title □ Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9)(Drug Activity)
□ Violation of R.C. 5321.05 □ Breach of Lease (other than nonpymt)
□ Other
7. @Grounds = Nonpayment
Defendants last paid rent on 8/06 for the month of 8/06.
□ Plaintiff(s) was not (were not) required to serve deft(s) add'l notices
(in add'n to 3 day) or
Plaintiff(s) was (were) required to serve deft(s) add'I notices, which
were not served or were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
or
☐ Grounds = Termination of Periodic Tenancy
\square Periodic rental date =, pursuant to the parties \square written \square oral
rental agreement.
\square Plaintiff(s) was required to serve defendant with a notice of termination of tenancy,
which □ was in writing and was served on the date contained in the notice, a copy
which was admitted as Pltf's Exh.
□ was given orally on/
□ was not given.
07
☐ Grounds = Expiration of Lease
☐ The parties' written lease expired on
☐ Plaintiff(s) was not (were not) required to serve deft(s) add'l notices (in add'n to 3 days
☐ Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) add'l notices, which
Was in writing and was sorved on the data contained in the mating
□ was in writing and was served on the date contained in the notice, a copy of which was admitted as Pltf's Exh
□ was not given.
or
□ Grounds = Non-color of Title
□ Plaintiff has not entered into a rental agreement with defendant.
□ Additional findings:
or
☐ Grounds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9)(Drug Activity)
☐ Illegal drug activity occurred at the premises on or about
□ Additional findings:

	\square Grounds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05 (other than drug activity)
	☐ Defendant(s) has (have) violated/failed to fulfill the tenants' obligations under
	R.C. 5321.05, which materially effects health and safety, as follows:
	☐ Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) additional notices, which
	☐ were not served or ☐ were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
	☐ Defendant ☐ did ☐ did not cure the breach, after service of the notice.
	b beteriain b and bat not care the breach, after service of the notice.
	or and the second secon
	☐ Grounds = Breach of Lease (other than nonpayment)
	☐ Defendant(s) has (have) violated the terms of the rental agreement, as follows:
	□ Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) additional notices, which
	\square were not served or \square were served on the date contained in the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
8.	. Defendant I has Thas not been identified as 60 years of age or older. But is desabled
9.	Additional Findings: Defendent failed to persuede Court That he ternely touder September yent
<u>C</u>	rent current. Ecquitées enigh in defendants four where plaintiff cent be made whole by payment of rent to current menth, onclusions Of Law
1.	Plaintiff(s) Thas (have) \Box has (have) not established by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff served defendant(s) a three day notice as required by law, vesting this court with jurisdiction to proceed.
2.	Plaintiff(s) □ has (have) □ has (have) not established by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff is entitled to judgment on the first cause, on the following grounds: □ Nonpymt □ Term'n of Periodic T'cy □ Expir. of Lease □ Non-Color of Title □ Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9)(Drug Activity) □ Violation of R.C. 5321.05 □ Breach of Lease (other than nonpymt) □ Other
3.	 □ No other notices were required or □ Plaintiff(s) served defendant(s) with all other notices as required by law. □ Plaintiff(s) did not serve defendant(s) with all other notices as required by law, as follows:

4. Additional Conclusions (a) Court was not persualed that exclien was in brought on protestice fagrounds.
Recommendation
Based upon the foregoing, the Magistrate recommends the following:
☑ Judgment For Defendant. (HJEFD1)
☐ Judgment For Plaintiff. Writ of Restitution To Issue. (HJEFP1)
☐ Forthwith Move Out Ordered
□ Move Out To Take Place On Or After
☐ By Order Of Court, Dismissed w/ Prej. ☐ 1st Cause ☐ 2nd Cause ☐ Both Causes
☐ By Order Of Court, Dismissed w/o Prejudice. ☐ 1st Cause ☐ 2nd Cause ☐ Both Causes
☐ For Want Of Prosecution, Dismissed w/o Prejudice. 1st Cause
☐ Second Cause Default Hearing Reset for/, at 1:30 P.M., 3A.
☐ Defendant is to be referred to Department of Aging for assistance.
☐ Inspection of the premises by City Department of Building and Housing is ordered. Inspection to occur on/, at a.m./p.m Parties are ordered to cooperate with access and inspection. Case set for hearing re: result of inspection on/, at AM/PM in Courtroom 3A/Courtroom 13B. Inspector ☐ may submit a written report in lieu of appearance ☐ must appear at hearing.
& Additional Findings/Recommendation(s) In the event defendent fauls to pay
sent current within a reconclulations (usually found to be appropried to /10 de
nothing in this deciesion would be plaintifficon bringing a new liretica for Nonpayment
MACISTRATE $l-6-0/l$

ATTENTION: A PARTY MAY NOT ASSIGN AS ERROR ON APPEAL ANY MAGISTRATE'S FINDING OF FACT OR CONCLUSION OF LAW UNLESS THE PARTY TIMELY AND SPECIFICALLY OBJECTS TO THAT FINDING OR CONCLUSION AS REQUIRED BY CIV. R. 53(E)(3). ALL OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE'S DECISION MUST BE FILED IN WRITING WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS OF THE JOURNALIZATION OF THIS DECISION. OBJECTIONS MUST BE FILED EVEN IF THE TRIAL COURT HAS PROVISIONALLY ADOPTED THE MAGISTRATE'S DECISION BEFORE THE FOURTEEN DAYS FOR FILING OBJECTIONS HAS PASSED. OBJECTIONS MUST COMPLY WITH THE OHIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, AND THE LOCAL RULES OF THIS COURT. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONSULT THE ABOVE RULES OR SEEK LEGAL COUNSEL.