## IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF DAYTON, OHIO CIVIL DIVISION

2007 Nov 50

DMHA,

Plaintiff,

**CASE NO: 07 CVG 8591** 

Vs.

**MAGISTRATE'S ORDER** 

CATHERINE WALKER, et al, Defendant,

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Objections to Defendant's Motion for More Definite Statement. The Court previously granted same on November 8, 2007. The Court misread the original entry as a request for more definite statement for the grounds of the criminal activity. Upon closer review, the Court finds Plaintiff's argument persuasive in that Plaintiff's complaint is not required to list a specific statute or name a specific criminal offense. The Court finds that Plaintiff's complaint sufficiently describes the grounds for the eviction to allow Defendant to prepare a defense.

WHEREFORE, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED, that the Court's previous order granting Defendant's Motion for More Definite Statement is vacated. Plaintiff is not required to file a more definite statement and the case will proceed to trial on the merits December 6, 2007.

SO ORDERED.

MAGISTRATE

Cc:

Randall Smith, Counsel for Plaintiff / Debra Lavey, Counsel for Defendant