IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF CUYAHOGA FALLS

AMUA	SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO	
Plaintiff vs NY-S/80/M 7/30 (P) 1) Defendant	CASE NO. 97 (VG 1293 MAGISTRATE'S DECISION * * * * *	
,	s were determined: 1. Plaintiff (was) (was not) ory interest in the premises set forth in the	
complaint, as	essor)(lessee)(agent for)(other:)	
(defendant) to judgment In. (. 5321.11, for-d pour- ondage) volation of provides complaint wa	notice (was) (was not) 7, which (was) (was not) 8 filed. 3. Facts entitling (plaintiff) 1/2 pla, - till did not comply with notice requirement for the requirement of the complete was the ded 1/2 the contract (leaving conditions the ded of the contract of the contrac	dur ety,
G-8-G7 (date)	JUDGMENT ENTRY 25	MINICIPAL COURT
	he Magistrate is hereby approved. 👼 🙇	
	Costs to be paid by (plaintiff) (defendant).	