

IN THE DAYTON MUNICIPAL COURT

DAYTON, OHIO

CIVIL DIVISION

FEDERAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORP.

Plaintiff, : CASE NO. 79 CV G 8820

- vs - : (Merz, J.)

KENNETH JACKSON, DECISION AND ENTRY

FILED  
DAYTON MUNICIPAL COURT  
59 AM 79

Defendant has filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff has filed a cross-motion for judgment on the pleadings. This matter is before the Court, for decision on the merits after trial and briefing sets of the federal law.

Defendant's argument that the complaint must be dismissed for failure to plead what program the Plaintiff's project is subsidized under is without merit. Such information should be within Defendant's knowledge or available on discovery. In any event, it is not an element of the claim for relief, although of course the Plaintiff must prove that it has afforded adequate notice.

Copies of the foregoing were served on the date of filing on John D. Bonner. In this case the notice is not adequate. Both parties

agree that 24 C.F.R. Ch. 450 is applicable. 24 C.F.R. §450.4(e)

requires that in rent non-payment cases, the notice of termination must set forth the amount of arrearage and the method of computation; that was not done here. Accordingly, the Complaint must be dismissed.

This alone would decide the case. However, in order to provide guidance to the parties for the future, the Court wishes to deal with the additional points raised by Defendant's motion to dismiss. Rent escrow is not the sole method available to a

Defendant to deal with lack of habitability, but a tenant's failure to use that method when it is available, along with his failure to

NOV 2 1979

federal v. Jackson  
Decision and Entry  
October 31, 1979  
Page Two

provide any corroboration of the alleged defects in the apartment,  
which strongly count rather strongly against the credibility of that tenant's  
testimony.

(Ex. 5.)

Plaintiff There is no obligation under 24 C.F.R. Part 450 to  
give a thirty-day notice in a non-payment situation; nor is such  
an obligation imposed by Defendant's lease or by Ohio law. An  
Ohio 3-day statutory notice is sufficient, provided it meets the  
service and specificity requirements of the federal law.

In accordance with the foregoing opinion, the Complaint  
herein is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Plaintiff's project is sub-  
sidized under a Section 8 contract. Such information should be within  
MM:mrm  
October 31, 1979 sage or attached on: JS/MICHAEL R. MERZ  
Dayton, Ohio  
it is not an element of the claim for Michael R. Merz, Judge must  
the Plaintiff must prove that it has afforded adequate notice.  
Copies of the foregoing were served on the date of filing on

John D. Poley, Esq., and Douglass B. Gregg, Esq. Both parties  
agree that 24 C.F.R. Ch. 450 is applicable. 24 C.F.R. § 450.4(a)  
requires that in rent non-payment cases, the notice of termination  
must set forth the amount of arrears and the method of computation  
that was not done here. Accordingly, the Complaint must  
be dismissed.

This Court would decide the case. However, in order to  
provide guidance to the parties for the future, the Court wishes  
to deal with the additional issues raised by defendant's motion to  
dismiss. Specifically, Plaintiff's motion exhibits a  
Defendant to deal with the issue of habitability. In a demand, failure  
to use that method when it is available, along with his failure to