## IN The MUNICIPAL COURT OF AKRON SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

SUMINIT COUNTY, OHIO
PLAINTIFF  V.  MAGISTRATE'S DECISIÓN POR MILLA COURT WITH FINDINGS OF FACT CLERK  AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  DEFENDANT  CASE NO. COG 952  MAGISTRATE'S DECISIÓN POR MILL AN COURT MAGISTRATE'S DECISIÓN POR MILL AN COURT CLERK  AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
The following facts were determined:  1) This case was set for hearing before the Magistrate on the
2) Plaintiff was not found to have a possessory interest in the premises as set forth in the complaint, as owner/lessor/lessee's agent for: 191 Office Allow Office, other:
3) Tenancy pursuant to (lease) (Section 8 lease) (month to month) (other): Walthow.  Rent per month is \$500/m0 Rent last received on October for of each month.  4) A lawful statutory notice was not properly served on the Defendant(s) on 2000, which was not at least three (3) days before the complaint was filed.  (4) (hand delivered) () (certified mail) (posting at premise) () (copy served on AMHA).
Jane 5) Facts entitling (plaintiff) (defendant) to judgment:  30 dey rolle 17700 She was valaring Keys rely refund to  TON 12/4/00; See & payer on leve rent! St 24 CFR Ch. IX; 952 3/0  Along de That Now payment by PHA of whe grounds for feiners of the decision of the Magistrate that a writ NOT BE allowed. It is my further decision that the second cause be continued.  MAGISTRATE
JUDGMENT ENTRY
The decision of the Magistrate is approved. It is the judgment of the Court that a writ of restitution MAY NOT issue. Costs to be paid by the (plaintiff) (defendant).

Lynne S. Callabay
JUDGE