CLEVELAND MUNICIPAL COURT HOUSING DIVISION JUDGE RAYMOND L. PIANKA

11. 11-CollEr Plaintiff(s)	DATE 7-28 2000
-VS-	case no. <u>00</u> cvg_13529
Defendant(s)	MAGISTRATE'S REPORT & RECOMMENDATION
Referred for Hearing per Civ. R. 53 to Magistr	rate:
Sandra R. Lewis	□ Ruben E. Pope, III □ Barbara A. Reitzloff
First Cause Of Action Mc Culled Plaintiff in Courty lechike Defendant in Courty lechike Defendant rep's Continued At Request Of Pltf (COPR) until	d by counsel Deft (CODR) Court (COCR)
Findings of Fact	
1. Plaintiff(s) is (are) the owner(s) andlog the premises described in plaintiff's complaint.	rd (acting on behalf of Joy Orhskel) of
2. Defendant(s) is (are) the tenant(s) of the respursuant to an oral a written rental agree	
3. Defendant's tenancy is not federally subsidized, Defendant's tenancy is federally subsidized,	zed. , as follows <u>\$8 existing SFD</u> .
 Plaintiff(s) ☐ did ☐ did not serve defendant(serve) admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit ☐, and was serve defendant(serve) admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit ☐. 	s) with a three day notice. Notice was erved on the date contained in the
5. The grounds alleged for this eviction are: ☐ Nonpymt ☐ Term'n of Periodic T'cy ☐ E ☐ Foreclosure ☐ Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A R.C. 5321.05 ☐ Breach of Lease (other than no)(9)(Drug Activity) 🗆 Violation of

,11c :

PT (Grounds = Nonpayment Del Mett PG # 7
<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Grounds = Nonpayment Defendants last paid rent on for the month of
	Plaintiff(s) was not (were not) required to serve deft(s) add'l notices
مب	(in add'n to 3 day) or
	☐ Plaintiff(s) was (were) required to serve deft(s) add'l notices, which
	were not served or were served on the date contained in the notice, and
	admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
r	
	rounds = Termination of Periodic Tenancy
	☐ Periodic rental date =, pursuant to the parties' ☐ written ☐ oral
	rental agreement.
	☐ Plaintiff(s) was required to serve defendant with a notice of termination of
	tenancy, which \(\subseteq \text{ was not served or } \subseteq was served on the date contained in
r	the notice, and admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
	rounds = Expiration of Lease
	☐ The parties' written lease expired on
	☐ Plaintiff(s) was not (were not) required to serve deft(s) add'l notices
•	(in add'n to 3 day) or
	☐ Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) add'l notices, which
	\square were not served or \square were served on the date contained in the notice, and
	admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
•	
⊐ G:	rounds = Foreclosure
	☐ Defendant lost title through foreclosure on or about
I	☐ Defendant has entered into no rental agreement with plaintiff.
¬ C.	
	rounds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05(A)(9)(Drug Activity)
	☐ Illegal drug activity occurred at the premises on or about
Ĺ	- Additional infinitions.
⊐ Gı	ounds = Violation of R.C. 5321.05 (other than drug activity)
	Defendant(s) has (have) violated/failed to fulfill the tenants' obligations under
	R.C. 5321.05, which materially effects health and safety, as follows:
Ε	Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) add'l notices, which
	\square were not served or \square were served on the date contained in the notice, and
_	admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
L	Defendant □ did □ did not cure the breach, after service of the notice.
7 G=	ounds - Dreach of Loose (other than manneymont)
	ounds = Breach of Lease (other than nonpayment)
L	Defendant(s) has (have) violated the terms of the rental agreement, as follows:
Г	Plaintiff(s) was required to serve deft(s) add'l notices, which
_	\Box were not served or \Box were served on the date contained in the notice, and
	admitted as Plaintiff's Exh
	The state of the s

6. A Moved into premises in November C. Effective 2/1/00 HAP portion 1 86	1605; HAP porter 599/1 1999, 105/405 to port in 2 22/40	O CVG	<u>13529</u>
7. Additional Findings: e. Parties agree the	at A has paid at heart	by only one se	t money (the
g. HAP K forbids payment in efce	filing complaint is	\$ 250,°°	
Conclusions Of Law	•		
 Plaintiff(s) □ has (have) □ has (have) that s/he served defendant(s) a three d jurisdiction to proceed. 	not established by a pre ay notice as required by	ponderance of the law, vesting this	e evidence court with
2. Plaintiff(s) ☐ has (have) ☐ has (have) that plaintiff is entitled to judgment on ☐ Nonpymt ☐ Term'n of Periodic ☐ Foreclosure ☐ Violation of R.C. 5 R.C. 5321.05 ☐ Breach of Lease (other	the first cause, on the fo T'cy □ Expir. of Lease 321.05(A)(9)(Drug Activ	llowing grounds ☐ Non-Color of ity) ☐ Violation of	: Title
3. □ No other notices were required or □ Plaintiff(s) served defendant(s) with □ Plaintiff(s) did not serve defendant(s) follows: [A. Tis landled for purposes Burition.] 4. Additional Conclusions. A has paid) with all other notices a Court notes Thereby wor	s required by law uldnot kave suffice efects of K No	went sthan
will not enforce agreement for po	igment in slees of	1 HAPK reat	amoust.
Recommendation	٠-		
Based upon the foregoing, the Magistrate	ecommends the followi	ng:	
☐ Judgment For Defendant. (HJEFD) ☐ Judgment For Plaintiff. Writ Of Re ☐ Forthwith Move Out Ordered ☐ Move Out To Take Place On Or Aft ☐ By Order Of Court, Dismissed w/ I ☐ By Order Of Court, Dismissed w/ o ☐ For Want Of Prosecution, Dismissed	er Prej. 1st Cause 2nd Prejudice. 1st Cause	Cause □ Both Cause □ 1	
☐ Second Cause Default Hearing Rese	et For/	_, At 1:30 P.M., 3	Α. ·
☐ Additional Findings/Recommendation	(s)		
	MAGISTRATE	P) Les	

Papies to parties & 6/00 St.L.